



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,616	06/26/2003	Maris Vistins	19,395	4912
23556 7	590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1732	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application At-	Annliecatio
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	10/606,616	VISTINS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. I period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed 'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Za) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final. Illowance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)	are withdrawn from consideration and/or election requirement.	
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the office of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath of the oath office oath office oath office oath office oath office oath office oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (a) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apele priority documents have been to Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/942) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· —	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

1.Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 21-30 in the reply filed on December 13, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the product could not be made by another process. This is not found persuasive because as already noted, additional dip coatings would be made and the glove finished as necessary to obtain the desired fingertip profile. Also, it should be noted that claim 31 depends from cancelled claim 1, not claim 21.

Page 2

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abildgaard et al in view of Povlacs et al essentially for reasons of record noting the following. The primary reference discloses the forming of the glove from a vinyl dispersion (col. 1, line 31), which is the instant material, and hence the reference must show the instant fusion oven. Concerning combining the references, the secondary reference mentions the primary reference—see Povlacs et al, column 1, line 40. Both references rotate the formers as the material thereon is gelled or cured.

3.Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant notes that the primary reference constitutes the problem, not the solution. However, the primary reference teaches to keep the formers in the upright position to obtain a uniform distribution of the plastisol thereon. While

Application/Control Number: 10/606,616

Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Povlacs et al may be directed to making rubber gloves, the pivoting steps for assuring uniformity of the coating on the formers is submitted to be more generic in nature than applicant believes. Clearly, the mechanical aspects of the invention would be combined since the secondary reference mentions the primary. The pivoting of the former to an angle away from the vertical and somewhat close to the horizontal is clearly taught see Fig. 2 of Povlacs et al and column 5, lines 19-46—the description therein clearly being advantageously used by one of ordinary skill in the art regardless of whether a rubber latex or a plastisol is used as the coating liquid. Comments directed to the temperature of the preheating in the primary reference being sufficient to gel, while understood as clarifying an issue which was inadvertently misstated in the rejection, are not really in point since such is not in the claims. The primary reference preheats the formers and such would be at a temperature noted by applicant as conventional in the art, such a temperature not being sufficient to gel the vinyl dispersion. Contrary to applicant's comments, it is submitted that each reference continues to rotate the formers upon gelling/curing, and Povlacs et al teaches that the angle may be adjusted dependent on the extent of non-uniformity of the film at the wrist and finger portions. While the secondary reference may not teach a fusion oven, clearly the primary reference must.

4.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/606,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot February 26, 2006 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

2/26/06